



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/580,365	05/26/2000	Stephen Dao Hui Hsu	004828.P001 8126		
759	90 10/19/2005		EXAM	INER	
Dennis M De Guzman			TRAN, TONGOC		
Blakely Sokolof	f Taylor & Zafman LLP		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
12400 Wilshire Boulevard			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Seventh Floor			2134		
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026			DATE MAILED: 10/19/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/580,365	HSU ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Tongoc Tran	2134		

	00,000,000	***************************************				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tongoc Tran	2134				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>on 9/14/2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no						
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F	f the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension of (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for						
appeal; and/or	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		i tile issues ioi			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected ciaims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		omphant Amendmen	. (1 TOL-024).			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		e, timely filed amendn	nent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>37-72</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ils to provide a (1).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the contr	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) 13. Other:		No(s)				
		REGORY MORSE SORY PATENT EXAM	INER			

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Examiner could not t find support in the Specification for the amended limitation and it would also raise new issue that requires further consideration and/or search.